Olive oil and cancer

By Sergio López, Yolanda M. Pacheco, Beatriz Bermúdez, Rocio Abia and Francisco J.G. Muriana*

Cellular and Molecular Nutrition, Instituto de la Grasa, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Seville, Spain

*Corresponding author: Dr. Francisco J.G. Muriana. Tel: +34 954611550
Email: muriana@ig.csic.es

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. MEDITERRANEAN DIET AND CANCER
3. THE ROLE OF FATTY ACIDS FROM OLIVE OIL IN CANCER
   3.1. Olive oil and breast cancer
   3.2. Olive oil and colon cancer
   3.3. Olive oil and prostate cancer
   3.4. Olive oil and other cancers
4. THE ROLE OF MINOR COMPOUNDS FROM OLIVE OIL IN CANCER
   4.1. Relationship between ROS and cancer
   4.2. ROS scavenger activity of olive oil phenolics
   4.3. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil phenolic compounds
   4.4. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil sterols
   4.5. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil tocopherols
   4.6. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil squalene
   4.7. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil carotenoids

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
REFERENCES

1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer develops when cells in a part of the body begin to grow out of control. Although there are many kinds of cancer, they all start because of out-of-control growth of abnormal cells. Normal body cells grow, divide, and die in an orderly fashion. If DNA damage occurs, it is repaired in most of the cases. Un-repaired DNA alterations can be fixed as mutations in proliferating cells only and mutations of very few strategic genes can induce tumour formation, the most relevant are those activating proto-oncogenes and inactivating tumour suppressor genes. A series of mutations and/or epigenetic changes is required to drive transformation of a normal cell into malignant tumour. The apparently unrestricted growth has to be accompanied by a mechanism preserving telomeres which otherwise shorten with succeeding cell divisions leading to growth arrest. The majority of cancers in humans are induced by carcinogenic factors present in our environment including our food.
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Cancer usually forms as a tumour. Malignant tumours show a greater degree of anaplasia and...
have the properties of invasion and metastasis. Some cancers, like leukaemia, do not form tumours. Instead, these cancer cells involve the blood and blood-forming organs and circulate through other tissues where they grow. To invade the surrounding tissues epithelial tumour cells have to lose some adhesion molecules keeping them attached to each other and to produce enzymes able to dissolve the elements of the basement membrane. Benign (non-cancerous) tumours do not spread to other parts of the body and, with very rare exceptions, are not life threatening.

During the multistage process of carcinogenesis, only rarely selected cells could proceed through a series of molecular changes from one stage to the next one along the pathway leading them ultimately to the formation of malignant tumour. At each stage, the pathway leading to malignancy is only one of the possible routes and different natural anticancer defence mechanisms further diminishing cancer risk. The peculiarity of these defence mechanisms stems from the fact that actually the most effective of them seem to operate at the very early stage proceeding the formation of the neoplastic cell. The examples given below could illustrate the issue (Jakobisiak et al., 2003):

- Many chemical carcinogens as well as ionizing and ultraviolet radiation are carcinogenic mainly through the induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) able to produce DNA damage. However, various natural substances, many of which are termed antioxidants, present in our food or synthesized in our organism inhibit the formation of, trap or decompose ROS preventing their carcinogenic activity.
- Toxic compounds, including carcinogens, entering our cells are detoxified by enzymes and/or are removed from target epithelial tissues by a group of membrane proteins.
- If the above-mentioned mechanisms do not prevent carcinogens from gaining access to genes, damage of DNA could occur.
- Damage of DNA is probably repaired in most of the cases.
- Even if unrepaired, DNA damage can produce mutations and neoplastic transformation of only a small number of cells, usually stem cells, because the remaining cells are differentiated, do not proliferate and are committed to death.
- Only mutations of very few critical genes can induce tumour formation, the most relevant are those activating proto-oncogenes and inactivating tumour suppressor genes.
- Not a single mutation but a series of mutations and epigenetic changes is required to drive transformation of a normal cell into a malignant one and into the tumour. It is estimated that four to six genetic changes are required for the formation of a malignant tumour in humans.
- Even if a given cell achieved an apparently unrestrained growth due to activation of appropriate proto-oncogenes and inactivation of some tumour suppressor genes, telomeres shorten progressively with succeeding cell divisions leading to growth arrest unless telomerase is activated.
- Tumour cannot develop beyond the size of 1–2 mm in diameter without the induction of angiogenesis.
- Invasion and dissemination of tumour cells is prevented by some natural mechanisms. As for epithelial cells, adhesion molecules keep them bound to each other and they usually lack the enzymes able to dissolve the basement membranes.
- Tumour cells invading the surrounding tissues and penetrating lymphatic and blood vessels to disseminate and to form metastases can be recognized and eliminated by the immune system.

2. MEDITERRANEAN DIET AND CANCER

The incidence of cancer overall in Mediterranean countries is lower than in Scandinavian countries and the United States. This is mostly accounted for by the lower incidence among Mediterranean countries of cancer of the large bowel, breast, endometrial, and prostate. These forms of cancer have been linked to dietary factors, particularly low consumption of vegetables and fruit, and to a certain extent, high consumption of meat. The traditional Mediterranean diet is characterized by high consumption of foods of plant origin, relatively low consumption of red meat, and high consumption of olive oil, which in several studies has been reported to be more beneficial against cancer than other forms of added lipids.

Cancer has been one of the main diseases of the last century, and nowadays many of them do not have solution yet. Some scientists say that this disease is a result of a degeneration of the own body when it is exposed to potential tumorigenic substances (environmental factors) defined broadly to include tobacco, pollution, alcohol or diet. Among these factors, unhealthy diet is more likely to affect personal cancer risk. Research shows that about one-third of all cancer deaths are related to dietary factors and lack of physical activity in adulthood.

In the last years, numerous studies have examined the association of dietary fat and cancer. For some cancer, mainly breast cancer, the results of these prospective studies have provided little support for an important influence of dietary fat intake (Willet, 2001). The role of dietary fat in other
cancers is not as clearly defined, although it appears that associations of fat intake with colorectal cancer is specific to fat from animal sources or may be attributed to meat intake, rather than fat per se (Potter, 1996).

In models of breast and colon cancer, saturated fats such as beef fat or lard, display only a weak promoting effect, with the incidence of induced tumours being similar at intake levels of 40% and 10% of energy (Weisburger, 1997). On the other hand, n-6-PUFA rich oils display a strong promoting effect and this may be due to the especially prone to lipid peroxidation initiated and propagated by reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to the formation of highly mutagenic exocyclic DNA adducts (Weisburger, 1997; Hong et al., 2000; Owen et al., 2000a).

Interestingly, there is increased evidence that monounsaturated oils are associated with a lower risk of some cancers, which agrees with the composition of (extra virgin) olive oil and the potential role of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and minor compounds protective against ROS.

3. THE ROLE OF FATTY ACIDS FROM OLIVE OIL IN CANCER

A review, by Lipworth et al. (2000), summarizes the association of olive oil intake with cancer risk in humans. The authors concluded that olive oil does not have the cancer-promoting potential of other fat types. However, additional studies will be required to confirm this hypothesis.

3.1. Olive oil and breast cancer

3.1.1. Experimental data

There are many reports in the last 30 years showing the effect of olive oil and other oils in animals. It is well known that is necessary a minimal intake of essential fatty acids in the form of linoleic acid (18:2n-6) to assess the maximal tumorigenic response of saturated fatty acids (SFA) (Ip et al., 1985). Nevertheless, cancer promotion not only depends on the amount of fats, but also on the fatty acid composition of the fat (Cohen et al., 1986a). Other experiments comparing different oils from corn, safflower, coconut and olive indicated that olive oil is the lesser inducer of mammary tumours (Cohen et al., 1986b; Cohen, 1987).

One of the mechanisms to explain how MUFA (olive oil) and medium-chain SFA does not promote mammary tumorigenesis is recurrent and mainly related to the competitive interactions between these fatty acids and the arachidonic acid (20:4n-6) for the enzymes of the eicosanoid biosynthetic pathway. Another one is that MUFA are less prone to lipid peroxidation that requires two or more unsaturated bonds in the fatty acid molecule (Mataix et al., 1993; Owen et al., 2000a). Therefore, the level of ROS is lower when dietary PUFA are replaced by MUFA and in turn, there is a decrease in the level of exocyclic DNA adducts.

3.1.2. Epidemiological data

It has been described that at least in Greece and Spain long-time consumption of olive oil is associated with decreased risk of breast cancer (Martin-Moreno et al., 1994; Trichopoulou et al., 1995), which is not true for n-6 PUFA (Hunter et al., 1996; Willet, 1997; Holmes, 1999). However, there are also contradictory epidemiological studies showing no beneficial effects derived from olive oil intake (Yu et al., 1990; Gaard et al., 1995; Yuan et al., 1995).

Recently, Sieri et al. (2004) evaluated the association between dietary patterns and risk of developing breast cancer in an Italian cohort (ORDET cohort). Women volunteers were recruited from 1987 to 1992 from residents in Varese province, northern Italy. Participants completed a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. Using nutritional data from 8984 women with an average follow up of 9.5 years and 207 incident cases of breast cancer. The authors found four dietary patterns, which explained 30% of the variance: salad vegetables (mainly consisting of raw vegetables and olive oil); western (mainly consisting of potatoes, red meat, eggs and butter); canteen (pasta and tomato sauce); and prudent (cooked vegetables, pulses, and fish, with negative loading on wines and spirits). After adjustment for potential confounders, only the salad vegetables pattern containing olive oil was associated with significantly lower (34-35%) breast cancer incidence (RR = 0.66, CI (95%) = 0.47-0.95 comparing highest with lowest tertile) with a significant linear trend (P = 0.016). Women with body mass index <25 had an even greater risk reduction in the highest tertile of the salad vegetables pattern (>50% less risk than the lowest tertile, RR = 0.39, CI (95%) = 0.22-0.69) with a significant trend (P = 0.001); whereas women with body mass index > or =25 had no protective effect. These findings suggest that a diet rich in raw vegetables and olive oil protects against breast cancer.

3.2. Olive oil and colon cancer

3.2.1. Experimental data

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common causes of cancer death in industrialized western countries. Earlier studies have shown that dietary fatty acid composition is important in colorectal carcinogenesis (Reddy, 1988; 1992). The effect of
fatty acids is different among different species. MUFA induce lesser bile acids than n-6 PUFA, which is associated to an increase of cholesterol formation. However, there are no differences between n-3 and n-6 PUFA on bile acid synthesis in vitro (Dommels et al., 2002), whereas in animal studies n-3 PUFA appear to protect against colorectal carcinogenesis and n-6 PUFA enhance tumorigenesis.

3.2.2. Epidemiological data

As with studies in breast cancer, all efforts to achieve a relationship between dietary fatty acids and colorectal cancer have failed. A combined analysis of 13 case-control studies indicated that there was essentially no association of intake of total, saturated, monounsaturated or polyunsaturated fats with the risk of colorectal cancer (Howe et al., 1997). In the last years, scientists have investigated the effect of long-chain n-3 PUFA (20:5n-3, eicosapentaenoic acid; 22:6n-3, docosahexaenoic acid) as the main fat in the diet (consuming large quantities of fish) in order to prevent not only colorectal cancer but coronary disease too (Woutersen et al., 1999; Hong et al., 2000), leaving aside the olive oil.

3.3. Olive oil and prostate cancer

The early studies suggested a positive association between prostate carcinogenesis and saturated fat intake. Many years later, it was published that death rates from prostate cancer was lower in areas with high olive oil consumption, such as Greece and Italy (Rose et al., 1992; Kushi et al., 1995). However, more recently results have tended to have null associations (Kolonel, 1996; Kushi et al., 2002). Kolonel suggests that the discrepancies in those results may be due to adjust association of prostate cancer with dietary fat intake.

3.4. Olive oil and other cancers

Studies in Mediterranean countries revealed an inverse relation between consumption of olive oil and cancer of the bladder (Gonzalez et al., 1990), stomach (Buiatti et al., 1989), urinary tract (Bitterman et al., 1991), lung (Fortes et al., 1995; 2003) and endometrial (Tzonou et al., 1996b). However, there is no association in single studies with olive oil and cancer of pharynx (Franceschi et al., 1991), ovarian (Tzonou et al., 1993), pancreas (Kalapothak et al., 1993) and oesophagus (Tzonou et al., 1996a).

4. THE ROLE OF MINOR COMPOUNDS FROM OLIVE OIL IN CANCER

Phenolic compounds, commonly referred to as polyphenols, are present in extra virgin olive oil. They are a complex mixture of compounds that include 3,4-dihydroxyphenylethanol (hydroxytyrosol), 4-hydroxyphenylethanol (tyrosol), 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, protocatechuic acid, syringic acid, vanillic acid, caffeic acid and p-coumaric acid, among others. The concentration of the phenolic fraction in olive oil varies depending on the cultivar, climate, and degree of ripeness of the fruit, being the average concentration around 500 mg/L in extra virgin olive oil. These bioactive compounds are “extra-nutritional” constituents that exhibit powerful antioxidant activity. Of the various phenolic compounds of extra virgin olive oil, hydroxytyrosol seems to be among the most important (Brenes et al., 1999; Tuck et al., 2002). It is present in free form and as a constituent of complex molecules (e.g. oleuropein) in olives. Its in vitro antioxidant potency is greater than butylated hydroxytoluene (Wiseman et al., 1996). In addition, olive oil phenolics have greater antioxidant potency than other vegetable oils. Some studies have demonstrated favourable effects of phenolics on thrombosis and tumorigenesis and promotion.

4.1. Relationship between ROS and cancer

The importance of antioxidant activity of olive oil minor compounds lies in the growing evidence that ROS are involved in the aetiology of fat-related tumours. ROS production notably increases in cancerous tissues compared with the normal tissues (Owen et al., 2000b).

It is considered that 80–90% of all human cancers are environmentally induced (Jensen and Madsen, 1988) and 30–40% of them by carcinogens present in our diet (Clemens, 1991). They damage DNA directly by forming, covalent adducts either with DNA or indirectly after being activated from inactive procarcinogens or through ROS they induce. However, some carcinogens are not genotoxic but stimulate cell proliferation thus increasing the probability of spontaneous occurrence of errors during DNA replication. Finally, some chemical bonds, including those present in DNA, can disintegrate spontaneously under physiological conditions. As mentioned above, one of the peculiarities of the natural anticancer defence mechanisms is that they start operating at the stage preceding the formation of tumour cells. Among ROS are superoxide anion (O$_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), hydroxyl radical (OH·), singlet oxygen (O$_2^*$), alkoxyl radical (RO·), and peroxy radical (ROO·), with hydroxyl radical being the most active in damaging DNA. Oxidative damage may include single- and double-strand breaks, abasic sites, and a variety of alterations in every constituent of DNA. For example, oxidative damage to cytosine alone results in at least 40 modified derivatives (Polverelli and Teoule, 1974).
ROS are also formed because of normal cellular respiration in mitochondria, by neutrophils and eosinophils in their defence against microorganisms and during metabolism of steroids and arachidonic acid. ROS produced by neutrophils probably participate in the carcinogenic effects of chronic inflammatory reactions.

4.2. ROS scavenger activity of olive oil phenolics

Phenolic compounds from extra virgin olive oil may directly scavenge some radical species by acting as chain-breaking antioxidants and suppress lipid peroxidation by recycling other antioxidants, such as α-tocopherol, by donating a hydrogen atom to the tocopherol molecule. α-Tocopherol also may contribute to the antioxidant effects of olive oil. It is commonly known that compounds, which share an orthodiphenolic structure, possess antioxidant activity and there are a number of the phenolics in olive oil, which possess this structure, namely hydroxytyrosol. ROS injuries result in a number of harmful effects: disturbed cell metabolism, morphological and ultrastructural changes, attack on the regulation pathways and, alterations in the differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis. The powerful antioxidant activity of phenolics suggests a protective role for (extra virgin) olive oil in a chronic disease, such as cancer.

The antioxidant activity of olive oil phenolics has been widely studied by assessing the bleaching of the stable 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH) in membranes. The model system consists of dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine/linoleic acid unilamellar vesicles and a water azo compound as a free radical generator. The mean scavenging concentration (SC50) value of hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein has been described to be 20.51 and 25.22 µM, respectively (Saija et al., 1998). It appears that these differences were because hydroxytyrosol can serve as scavenger of aqueous peroxyl radicals near the membrane surface, while oleuropein acts also as a scavenger of chain-propagating lipid peroxyl radicals within membranes (Tuck and Hayball, 2002). Gordon et al. (2001) found SC50 values of 26 µM for hydroxytyrosol acetate and 12 µM for oleuropein aglycone, both phenolic compounds contained in olive oil (Brener et al., 1999).

Briante et al. (2001) discovered that the capacity of oleuropein aglycone, hydroxytyrosol, elenolic acid with closed ring and elenolic acid with open ring to inhibit the fatty acid peroxidation rate is related to the degree of unsaturation of fatty acids. The authors confirmed that oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol possess greater antioxidant capacity than elenolic acid in either form.

Owen et al. (2000a) showed that phenolic compounds present in (extra virgin) olive oil are potent inhibitors of free radical generation by the faecal matrix, suggesting a major role of phenolics is preventing colorectal cancer. The most potent compound inhibiting ROS action is (+)-1-acetoxypinocembrin (0.91 mM) follows by hydroxytyrosol (1.34 mM), secoiridoid-1 (1.62 mM), 2-hydroxybenzoic acid (1.69 mM) and other phenolic compounds from (extra virgin) olive oil. Almost all of these compounds have an IC50, similar to SC50, in a range of 0.9-3.2, and Trolox had the lowest activity (12.24 mM) (Owen et al., 2003).

Recent observations have revealed that phorbol ester (PMA)-stimulated macrophages from animals fed with (extra virgin) olive oil release less superoxide than cells from animals fed with fish oil (Moreno et al., 2001). Both diets decreased eicosanoid production compared with a corn oil diet. Furthermore, olive oil and fish oil diets increased nitric oxide production. Olive oil reduced arachidonic acid mobilization and the subsequent metabolism of eicosanoids, but only olive oil increased the nitric oxide (NO)/ROS ratio. Tyrosol decreased ROS production in a concentration-dependent manner after PMA-stimulation (Moreno et al., 2003). However, β-sitosterol inhibited ROS (O2· and H2O2) production when β-sitosterol is incubated for several hours before PMA-stimulation. These effects were not observed with squalene. The above-mentioned treatments did not cause cytotoxicity. These data shed some light on the mechanism involved in the beneficial effects of a diet rich in (extra virgin) olive oil.

4.3. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil phenolic compounds

Epidemiological studies suggest that (extra virgin) olive oil may offer protection for some types of cancer. Olive oil phenolic compounds reduce ROS generated both during metabolism of fatty acids (Mataix et al., 1993; Owen et al., 2000a) and cancer cells (Owen et al., 2000b), or by the action of exogenous agents like UV-light and ionizing radiation (Budiyanto et al., 2000; Ichihashi et al., 2000; Awad et al., 2003a).

UV-light generates DNA damage products such as 8-hydroxy-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), cyclobutane pyrimidine dimmers (CPD) and (6-4) photoproducts. Studies in animals reveal that post-treatment with extra virgin olive oil painted immediately after UV irradiation delay the onset and reduce the number of skin cancer, but pre-treatment with extra virgin olive oil and pre/post-treatment with refined olive oil (no phenolics) neither retard nor reduce skin cancer formation in UV-irradiated animals (Budiyanto et al., 2000; Ichihashi et al.,...
breast cancer cells have showed that \(\beta\)-sitosterol inhibits tumour cell invasion and cell growth by 70% compared with controls and induces cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase (Awad et al., 2001). The mechanistic regulation of growth was through MAPK pathway (Awad et al., 2003a). Moreover, \(\beta\)-sitosterol induces apoptosis by the activation of caspases 8, 9 and 3 (Awad et al., 2003b). \(\beta\)-Sitosterol may offer protection from breast cancer metastasis by inhibiting cell invasion of the basement membrane (laminin and collagen IV), which may be mediated by its ability to limit the adhesiveness of the tumour cells.

4.5. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil tocopherols

Gysin et al. (2002) investigated the effects of the \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma\)-tocopherol on the growth of human prostate and colon cancer cells. They showed that although all of them inhibit the cell growth, was \(\alpha\)-tocopherol which strongest induced a significant growth inhibition (86%) in androgen-independent prostate cancer cell line DU-145 (50% by \(\alpha\)-tocopherol and 41% by \(\beta\)-tocopherol). Androgen-dependent prostate cancer cell line LNCaP was sensitive to tocopherols; cells grew only 26% relative to controls vs. 52% growth observed from \(\alpha\)-tocopherol-treated cells. The ability to proliferate of human colon adenocarcinoma (Caco-2) cells was reduced when treated with \(\gamma\)-tocopherol (64%) and \(\alpha\)-tocopherol (50%). Thus, inhibition of cell proliferation in DU-145, LNCaP, and Caco-2 cells was consistently inhibited more significantly by \(\gamma\) than by \(\alpha\) or \(\beta\)-tocopherol. Human osteosarcoma cell proliferation, on the other hand, was only weakly inhibited by \(\gamma\)-tocopherol, whereas proliferation of \(\alpha\)-tocopherol-treated cells was not distinguishable from that of controls. These observations were basically due to a decrease in the levels of cyclin D1 and E, and an increase in the levels of three cdk inhibitors (CKI): p21, p27 and p16 (Figure 2).

4.4. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil sterols

Phytosterols are considered anticancer dietary components (Moreno, 2003). Studies in human
However, our body retains more \( \alpha \)-tocopherol than \( \gamma \)-tocopherol (Burton \textit{et al.}, 1998; Brigelius-Flohe \textit{et al.}, 1999). The biological antioxidant and non-antioxidant activities of \( \alpha \)-tocopherol are well known (Azzi \textit{et al.}, 2003; Villacorta \textit{et al.}, 2003), and \( \gamma \)-tocopherol has benefits by reducing the rate of sister chromatid exchange in humans (Elmadfa \textit{et al.}, 1999). Taken together, we can draw in mind a cellular mechanism supporting the concept emerging from epidemiological studies that a greater magnitude of risk reduction for prostate and colon cancer may occur if both total vitamin E consumption and the amount of \( \gamma \)-tocopherol in the diet are increased.

### 4.6. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil squalene

Squalene is an isoprenoid compound comprised of six isoprene units. The antioxidant property of squalene may be related to these isoprene units. Olive oil contains 0.2-0.7% of squalene. The intakes of squalene in Mediterranean countries reach 200-400 mg/day (Newmark, 1999; Smith, 2000), which could raise the plasma level of squalene to approximately 10 \( \mu \)M. Squalene may have chemopreventive activity against colon carcinogenesis (Rao \textit{et al.}, 1998; Newmark, 1999). Its mechanism of action lies on the strong inhibition of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase activity, which reduces the availability of farnesyl pyrophosphate for the phenylation of the ras oncogene.

Experiments in animals show that consumption of squalene significantly inhibits the formation of colonic crypt foci (ACF) by 46% (Rao \textit{et al.}, 1998) and lung hyperplasia by 70% (Smith \textit{et al.}, 1998). Normal human bone marrow (BM) derived colony-forming unit (CFU) growth can be increased by squalene in a dose-dependent manner (Das \textit{et al.}, 2003). Squalene (12.5–25 \( \mu \)M) treatment significantly protected the CFUs from cisplatin-induced toxicity; the protective effect was equivalent to reduced glutathione (GSH). No evidence in humans has been discovered \textit{in vivo} yet. There is an urgent need for the clinical development of safe and non-toxic cytoprotective agents for the adequate management of cancer chemotherapy. A phase I trial demonstrated that oral squalene is safe and tolerable (Chan \textit{et al.}, 1996). In the study, adult males were given 860 mg of squalene daily for 20 weeks.

### 4.7. Potential anticancer activity of olive oil carotenoids

The major carotenoid compound in (extra virgin) olive oil is \( \beta \)-carotene, but also contains \( \alpha \)-carotene, lycopene, \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin and lutein-zeaxanthin. More than a hundred of epidemiological studies have shown that people who consume more \( \beta \)-carotene (provitamin A) and have higher \( \beta \)-carotene levels in their blood have lower risk of cancer and particularly lung cancer (Nowak, 1994). Diet supplementation with \( \beta \)-carotene has been undertaken in two large clinical studies, but unexpectedly and tragically this supplementation resulted in the increased incidence of lung cancer in those smoking cigarettes (Omenn \textit{et al.}, 1996). We still do not know the final explanation.

A diet rich in lutein + zeaxanthin and long-chain PUFA may help to prevent human colon cancer (Nkondjock and Ghadarian, 2004). Lutein concentration in plasma is inversely associated with human cancer death (Mayne \textit{et al.}, 2004). Additionally, lycopene inhibits proliferation of tumoral cells (Olmedilla \textit{et al.}, 2001), and is a potential preventative in human prostate cancer (Klein and Thompson, 2004) and reduces risk of prostate, lung and digestive cancers (Tapiero \textit{et al.}, 2004).
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